

Results of the stakeholder consultation on market design

Stakeholder event

Ove Wolfgang
SINTEF Energy Research





(4) This is not the time to stop supporting RES

- A more ambitious <u>implementation</u> of environmental policy is needed to limit global warming and future mitigation costs.
- ☐ E.g. fewer emission permits
 - ➤ higher permit prices, higher costs for fossil-fuel generation, higher electricity prices, profitable RES generation without support
- ☐ However, this is not the situation today.
- ☐ Financial support for RES is still needed to continue the decarbonization of the European energy system



Comments provided to (4)

Intermittent resources are subject to the so called "cannibalization effect"

☐ Therefore,

- Even if environmental externalities are internalized, and RES technologies reach "grid parity",
- it is difficult to obtain sufficient investments through short-term price signal.
- A long-term price-signal is needed for RES







(6) RES support scheme: Characteristics of a good system

- Depends on i.a.
 - market penetration/maturity
 - whether markets are set up to fit for RES or not
- ☐ Takes into account risks for investors, because of cost of capital
- □ Designed to not interfere with short-term price signals, e.g. not incentivize production during negative prices



(6) RES support scheme: Proposal

- Supported volume
 - Wind & Solar: Based on actual production (MWh), but not reduced if production is cut (because of negative prices, balancing energy)
 - Bio-based: Support based on a defined number of operating hours
 - ☐ Floating price premium
 - Price premium on top of average electricity prices
 - Adjusted every 1-3 year to reach target level for total price
 - ☐ Tenders
 - Result of tender: target level for total price (electricity+ premium)
 - Technology specific tenders should be allowed
 - Small players (exempted / not excluded)





Comments provided to (6)

About proposed system

- Interesting, but complexity of implementation probably outweight the benefits through reduced market distortions.
- Better to build upon already implemented schemes

Recommended alternative

- For mc≈0 techn.: CFDs (2-way) + 6 hour negative price rule (UK)
- For mc>0 techn.: Investment aid (per MW) + availability requirement





Comments provided to (6)

- Unclear about participation of small players in tenders (extempted vs. pre-qualification)
- □ Have the project addressed the following?
 - The need for harmonization of RES support schemes in the EU
 - The need to continue policy of giving priority dispatch for renewables
 - If maybe some RES technologies do not need support in the future







- Now, focus should be put on implementing integrated and well-functioning intra-day markets
- ☐ Gate closure should be close to real time operation
- Continuous trading
- ☐ However, to increase liquidity:
 - Some organized auctions is recommended
 - Consider reservation of cross-border transm. capacity for intra-day



Comments provided to (7)

- □ Continuous trading close to real time is the most efficient solution
- ☐ Intraday auctions could be difficult to implement because it would require to assign a value to cross border capacity
- ☐ Reserve capacity for intraday with use-it-or-sell-it would be difficult to implement near real time (and reduce utilization)



(9) A careful approach regarding capacity markets

- Over-investment through separate national markets should be avoided.
 - ☐ It should be mandatory to allow the use of cross-border interconnection capacity to contract firm capacity in other countries.
 - Product: financial option with a high strike price
 - Firmness requirement & penalty for non-delivery
 - Contracted amount should be affected by price (to reduce strategic bidding)



Comments provided to (9)

- We are suggesting a system with cost recovery through
- Short term price signal / wholesale market prices
- Capacity payment (only for) firm capacity to solve security of supply
- Support scheme for RES
- □ This gives an unfair perception of higher prices for renewables compared to fossil fuel generation
- ☐ RES
 - Is not firm
 - But with high shares, it contributes to security of supply and firmness



Comments provided to (9), continued

Proposal: add the following to recommendations

- Renewable energy
 - Will be allowed to participate in capacity mechanism
 - As a recognition of its contribution to SoS and reducing risk of foreign supply
- ☐ In case of firmness requirement
 - RES participate with a % of capacity
 - As a recognition of its contribution to generation mix





Other comments

- Document is lacking a discussion
 - On grid tariffs
 - How to avoid inefficient indirect incentives
- We should be clear about which topics are addressed / not addressed in the project (gaps)
- ☐ Conclusions should be limited to addressed topics
- ☐ It would be helpful if we could relate conclusions to corresponding deliverables that provide the basis for them







Co-funded by the Intelligent Energy Europe Programme of the European Union

Thank you very much for your attention





Main findings in Market4RES project

- Main findings document
 - http://market4res.eu/
 - 5 pages
 - Result of joint efforts of all the partners in Market4RES
- □ Basis
 - Deliverables
 - Feedbacks at events and advisory board meetings
 - Iterations among partners





Written consultation process of main findings

- Carried out 1. June 17 June
- Advertised through
 - Project's mailing list, plus targeted organizations
 - Newsletter
 - Project homepage
- ☐ This is an integrated part of project
 - Impact on final recommendations





Presenting feed-backs

- General comments are presented first
- ☐ Then for each specific part of document
 - Describe our view/recommendation
 - Comments provided







Part I & II: Background and introduction

Headlines only: no comments have been provided

- (1) The purpose of this document
- (2) Initially, markets were not fit for RES
- (3) Europe's policy for promoting integration of RES-E technologies has been a success story







Stucture of document

Part I Introduction

Part II Background

Part III Support mechanisms for RES

Part IV Making market fit for RES

Part V Other design elements

Part VI Process & deliverables





Part III Support mechanisms for RES







(5) However, it is time to reconsider the design of support schemes

- Price volatility
- ☐ Firm capacity having problems to recover their investment costs
- ☐ Considerable financial support provided to RES generation





Written consultation process of main findings

- Carried out 1. June 17 June
- Advertised through
 - Project's mailing list, plus targeted organizations
 - Newsletter
 - Project homepage
- ☐ This is an integrated part of project
 - > Impact on final recommendations



